

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/308,860 01/12/2001		Malcolm Tom McKechnie	08291/600001	6735
26211	7590 05/23/2003			
	HARDSON P.C.		EXAMINER	
45 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA, SUITE 2800 NEW YORK, NY 10111			MARKOFF, A	LEXANDER
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	2/
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003	21

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		3m01			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/308,860	MCKECHNIE ET AL.			
navioury notices	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alexander Markoff	1746			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
HE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a nal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) They raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	I be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been cons ee Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b rould be rejected is provided belo	o)⊠ will be entered and an ow or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 35-54.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. Other:					
	ALEXANDER MARKOFF PRIMARY EXAMINER	Hero Miller			
	_	Alexander Markoff Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1746			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In contrast to the applicants arguments Hughes teaches that the frictional type of charging particles was conventional in the art and that such charging was usually conducted by end use See at least column 1, lines 31-59.